·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/698,666	HUSTED, PAUL J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Siu M. Lee	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Siu M. Lee</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeanette S. Harms</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2008</u> Time: <u>1:30 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed:		
Claims 30, 32, 35-39		
Prior art documents discussed:	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The attroney agrees to the proposed changes and cancel claims 30, 32, 35-39 but reserves the right to file a divisional application for the cancelled claims in the future.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE-Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE-Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriate)